arXiv:0805.3552v3 [math.GT] 9 Apr 2009

GROUPS OF VOLUME-PRESERVING DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF NONCOMPACT MANIFOLDS AND MASS FLOW TOWARD ENDS

TATSUHIKO YAGASAKI

Abstract. Suppose M is a noncompact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold and ω is a positive volume form on M. Let $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ denote the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of M endowed with the compact-open C^∞ topology and $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ denote the subgroup of ω-preserving diffeomorphisms of M. In this paper we propose a unified approach for realization of mass transfer toward ends by diffeomorphisms of M. This argument together with Moser's theorem enables us to deduce two selection theorems for the groups $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$. The first one is the extension of Moser's theorem to noncompact manifolds, that is, the existence of sections for the orbit maps under the action of $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ on the space of volume forms. This implies that $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ is a strong deformation retract of the group $\mathcal{D}^+(M;E_M^\omega)$ consisting of $h \in \mathcal{D}^+(M)$ which preserves the set E_M^ω of ω-finite ends of M. The second one is related to the mass flow toward ends under volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of M. Let $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$ denote the subgroup consisting of all $h \in \mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ which fix the ends E_M of M. S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad introduced the topological vector space $\mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ of end charges of M and the end charge homomorphism $c^\omega : \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \to \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$, which measures the mass flow toward ends induced by each $h \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$. We show that the homomorphism c^ω has a continuous section. This induces the factorization $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \cong \ker c^\omega \times \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ and it implies that $\ker c^\omega$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to study topological properties of groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of noncompact manifolds. The group of diffeomorphisms of a manifold M acts on the space of volume forms on M. We can use a family of diffeomorphisms of M to transfer volumes and thereby deform a family of volume forms on M. In this article we propose a unified approach for the general problem of realizing data of volume transfer toward ends by diffeomorphisms of M (Theorem 3.1). We use this realization result, together with Moser's theorem, in order to obtain two main selection theorems related to volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of a noncompact manifold M (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2) and deduce some conclusions on the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of M (Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2).

Suppose M is a connected oriented separable metrizable smooth n-manifold possibly with boundary and ω is a positive volume form on M. Let $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ denote the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of M endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology and $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ denote the subgroup consisting of ω-preserving diffeomorphisms of M.

Our first concern is the relation between the groups $\mathcal{D}^+(M) \supset \mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$. Let $\mathcal{V}^+(M)$ denote the space of positive volume forms μ on M endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology, and for

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S05, 58D05.

Key words and phrases. Group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, Mass flow, End charge homomorphism, σ-compact manifold.

$m \in (0, \infty]$ let $\mathcal{V}^+(M, m) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \mid \mu(M) = m\}$. The group $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{V}^+(M, m)$ by $h \cdot \mu = h_*\mu$ $(= (h^{-1})^*\mu)$ and the group $\mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$ coincides with the stabilizer of ω under this action.

When M is compact, Moser's theorem [2, 3, 9] asserts the transitivity and the existence of sections of the orbit maps under this action. Similar results for measure-preserving homeomorphisms were obtained by von Neumann-Oxtoby-Ulam [11] and A. Fathi [7].

When M is noncompact, it is necessary to include some informations on the ends of M [4, 8]. For an open subset F of the space E_M of the ends of M, consider the subgroup $\mathcal{D}^+(M; F) = \{h \in \mathcal{D}^+(M) \mid \overline{h}(F) = F\}$, where $\overline{h}$ is the natural homeomorphic extension of h to the end compactification of M. We also need to introduce the spaces

$$\mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \mid E_M^\mu = F\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew} = \mathcal{V}^+(M; F) \cap \mathcal{V}^+(M; m),$$

where E_M^μ is the set of μ-finite ends of M. According to R. Berlanga [4] these spaces are endowed with the finite-ends weak C^∞-topology *ew* (cf. Section 2.4). The group $\mathcal{D}^+(M; F)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew}$ by $h \cdot \mu = h_*\mu$, and the subgroup $\mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$ coincides with the stabilizer of ω under this action. The transitivity of this action was shown by R. E. Greene - K. Shiohama [8]. The similar problem for measure-preserving homeomorphisms were studied by R. Belranga [5]. He has really obtained the result on existence of sections for orbit maps [4]. The first application of Theorem 3.1 is the C^∞-version of this result, that is, the extension of Moser's theorem to noncompact manifolds. Let $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ denote the path-component of id_M in the group $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M) = \{h \in \mathcal{D}(M) \mid h|_{\partial M} = id_{\partial M}\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose P is any topological space and $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$ are continuous maps with $\mu_p(M) = \nu_p(M)$ $(p \in P)$. Then there exists a continuous map $h : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that for each $p \in P$* (1) *$h_{p*}\mu_p = \nu_p$ and* (2) *if $\mu_p = \nu_p$, then $h_p = id_M$.*

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and set $m = \omega(M)$ and $F = E_M^\omega$.*

(1) *The orbit map $\pi_\omega : \mathcal{D}^+(M; F) \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew}$, $\pi_\omega(h) = h_*\omega$, admits a continuous section $\sigma : \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew} \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that $\sigma(\omega) = id_M$.*

(2) (i) $\mathcal{D}^+(M; F) \cong \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew} \times \mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$.
    (ii) *$\mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{D}^+(M; F)$.*

Next we are concerned with an internal structure of the group $\mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$. When M is noncompact, one can measure volume transfer toward ends of M under volume-preserving diffeomorphisms which fix the ends E_M. This quantity is described by the end charge homomorphism

$$c^\omega : \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M; \omega) \to \mathcal{S}(M; \omega)$$

introduced by S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad [1]. An end charge of M is a finitely additive signed measure on the algebra of clopen subsets of E_M. Let $\mathcal{S}(M)$ denote the topological linear space of all end charges of M with the weak topology and let $\mathcal{S}(M; \omega)$ denote the linear subspace of $\mathcal{S}(M)$ consisting of end charges c of M with $c(E_M) = 0$ and $c|_{E_M^\omega} = 0$. For each $h \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M; \omega)$ an end charge $c^\omega_h \in \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ is defined by

$$c^\omega_h(E_C) = \omega(C - h(C)) - \omega(h(C) - C),$$

where C is any n-submanifold of M such that $\mathrm{Fr}_M C$ is compact and $E_C \subset E_M$ is the set of ends of C. This quantity represents the total ω - volume (or mass) transfered by h into C and into E_C in the last. Hence, the end charge c^ω_h describes mass flow toward ends induced by h.

The group $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ by $h \cdot a = c^\omega_h + a$. The end charge homomorphism $c^\omega : \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \to S(M;\omega)$ coincides with the orbit map at $0 \in \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ under this action. In [12] we have shown that the end charge homomorphism for measure-preserving homeomorphisms has a continuous section. As the second application of Theorem 3.1, we show that the end charge homomorphism c^ω for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms also has a continuous (non-homomorphic) section.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose P is any topological space and $\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and $a : P \to \mathcal{S}(M)$ are continuous maps such that $a_p \in \mathcal{S}(M;\mu_p)$ $(p \in P)$. Then there exists a continuous map $h : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that for each $p \in P$*

(1) *$h_p \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;\mu_p)_1$,* (2) *$c^{\mu_p}_{h_p} = a_p$ and* (3) *if $a_p = 0$, then $h_p = id_M$.*

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$.*

(1) *The end charge homomorphism $c^\omega : \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \to \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ admits a continuous section $s : \mathcal{S}(M;\omega) \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;\omega)_1$ such that $s(0) = id_M$.*

(2) (i) $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \cong \ker c^\omega \times \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$.
    (ii) $\ker c^\omega$ *is a strong deformation retract of* $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$.

The group $\ker c^\omega$ contains the subgroup $\mathcal{D}^c(M;\omega)$ consisting of ω-preserving diffeomorphisms with compact support. In a succeeding paper we will investigate the relation between these subgroups.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to generalities on end compactifications, diffeomorphism groups, spaces of volume forms and end charge homomorphism. In Sections 3.1 and 3.2 we discuss volume transfer by engulfing isotopies and obtain a fundamental deformation lemma. In Section 3.4 we state and prove Theorem 3.1, the main theorem in this article. Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and their corollaries are proved in Section 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Conventions.**

Throughout the paper, a C^∞ n-manifold M means a separable metrizable C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary. We add the phrase "possibly with corners" when we allow that M has corners modeled on $[0,\infty)^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. In this paper corners appear only when we cut an n-manifold with boundary by a proper $(n-1)$-submanifold.

Suppose M is a C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners. The notations $\mathrm{Int}\, M$ and $\partial = \partial M$ denote the interior and boundary of M as a manifold. For a subset A of M, let $\mathrm{Fr}_M A$, $\mathrm{cl}_M A$ and $\mathrm{Int}_M A$ denote the frontier, closure and interior of A relative to M.

A C^∞ *n-submanifold* N of M means a closed subset of M such that (a) $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ is a proper C^∞ $(n-1)$-submanifold of M and (b) $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ does not meet the corners of M. Hence N is a C^∞ n-manifold with corners $\mathrm{Fr}_M N \cap \partial M$ (together with other corners of M included in N) and $N^c \equiv cl_M(M - N)$ is also a C^∞ n-submanifold of M.

When M has corners, a collar E of ∂M in M is locally modeled on $E_0 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where $E_0 = [0,2]^2 \setminus [0,1)^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The collar E_0 has the base $C = [0,2]^2 \setminus [0,2)^2$ and the collar arcs $I_x = \{(1-t/2)x \mid t \in [0,1]\}$ $(x \in C)$ (so that the level sets consist of $F_t = (1-t/2)C$ $(t \in [0,1])$). The notation $E = \partial M \times [0,1]$ simply indicates the (non-diffeomorphic) parametrization of E.

The symbols $\mathcal{B}(M)$, $\mathcal{K}(M)$ and $\mathcal{C}(M)$ denote the sets of Borel subsets, compact subsets and connected components of M respectively. Let $\mathcal{B}_c(M) = \{C \in \mathcal{B}(M) \mid \mathrm{Fr}_M\, C : \text{compact}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c,0}(M) = \{C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M) \mid \mathrm{Fr}_M\, C \text{ has zero Lebesgue measure}\}$.

A Radon measure on M is a Borel measure μ on M such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$. A Radon measure μ on M is called good if $\mu(p) = 0$ for each point $p \in M$ and $\mu(U) > 0$ for any nonempty open subset U of M. Let $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ denote the space of good Radon measures μ on M with $\mu(\partial M) = 0$ endowed with the weak topology. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ we say that ν is μ-biregular if μ and ν have the same collection of null sets. Let $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, \widehat{\mu}\text{-reg}) = \big\{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M) \mid \nu \text{ is } \mu\text{-biregular}\big\}$. (cf. [1, 4, 7, 12])

By $C^\infty(M)$ we denote the space of C^∞-functions $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology. For $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have the subspace $C^\infty(M, I) = \{f \in C^\infty(M) \mid f(M) \subset I\}$.

The symbol $\Omega^n(M)$ denotes the space of n-forms on M endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology. When M is oriented, $\mathcal{V}^+(M)$ denotes the subspace of $\Omega^n(M)$ consisting of positive volume forms on M. For any $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$, the space $\mathcal{V}^+(M)$ admits a canonical affine contraction onto $\{\omega\}$:

$$\varphi : \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{V}^+(M), \;\; \varphi_t(\mu) = (1-t)\mu + t\omega.$$

Each $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ induces a Radon measure $\widehat{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\omega}(B) = \int_B \omega \equiv \int_M \chi_B\, \omega \qquad (B \in \mathcal{B}(M)),$$

where χ_B is the characteristic function of B (i.e., $\chi_B \equiv 1$ on B and $\chi_B \equiv 0$ on $M - B$). Since $\widehat{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, \widehat{\omega}\text{-reg})$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$, this determines a canonical continuous map $\mathcal{V}^+(M) \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, \omega\text{-reg})$. For simplicity, we denote $\widehat{\omega}$ by the same simbol ω. Note that $C \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ has zero Lebesgue measure iff $\omega(C) = 0$.

By $\mathcal{D}(M)$ we denote the group of diffeomorphisms of M onto itself endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology. The support of $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ is defined by $\mathrm{supp}\, h = \mathrm{cl}_M \{x \in M \mid h(x) \neq x\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}^c(M) = \{h \in \mathcal{D}(M) \mid \mathrm{supp}\, h : \text{compact}\}$ and for $A, B \subset M$ we set

$$\mathcal{D}_A(M, B) = \big\{h \in \mathcal{D}(M) \mid h|_A = id_A,\, h(B) = B\big\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_A^c(M, B) = \mathcal{D}_A(M, B) \cap \mathcal{D}^c(M).$$

When M is oriented, $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ consisting of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of M. For $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ we say that $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ preserves ω if the pullback $h^*\omega = \omega$ (or the pushforward $h_*\omega \equiv (h^{-1})^*\omega = \omega$). Let $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ consisting of ω-preserving diffeomorphisms of M.

For any subgroup G of $\mathcal{D}(M)$, the symbol G_1 denotes the path-component of id_M in G. When $G \subset \mathcal{D}^c(M)$, by G_1^* we denote the subgroup of G_1 consisting of $h \in G$ which admits a path $h_t \in G$ $(t \in [0,1])$ such that $h_0 = id_M$, $h_1 = h$ and there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h_t \subset K$ $(t \in [0,1])$. Since G is a topological group, for any continuous maps $\varphi, \psi : P \to G$, the composition $\psi\varphi : P \to G$, $(\psi\varphi)_p = \psi_p\varphi_p$ and the inverse $\varphi^{-1} : P \to G$, $(\varphi^{-1})_p = \varphi_p^{-1}$ are also continuous maps. We say that a map $\varphi : P \to G$ (or a map $\mu : P \to \Omega^n(M)$) has locally common compact support in $V \subset M$ if for each point $p \in P$ there exists a neighborhood U of p in P and $K \in \mathcal{K}(V)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_q \subset K$ (or $\operatorname{supp} \mu_q \subset K$) $(q \in U)$.

2.2. **Ends of manifolds.** (cf. [4])

Suppose M is a noncompact, connected C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners. An end of M is a function e which assigns an $e(K) \in \mathcal{C}(M - K)$ to each $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ such that $e(K_1) \supset e(K_2)$ if $K_1 \subset K_2$. The set of ends of M is denoted by E_M. The end compactification of M is the space $\overline{M} = M \cup E_M$ endowed with the topology defined by the following conditions: (a) M is an open subspace of $\overline{M}$, (b) the fundamental open neighborhoods of $e \in E_M$ are given by

$$N(e,K) = e(K) \cup \{e' \in E_M \mid e'(K) = e(K)\} \quad (K \in \mathcal{K}(M)).$$

Then, (i) $\overline{M}$ is a connected, locally connected, compact, metrizable space, (ii) M is a dense open subset of $\overline{M}$ and (iii) E_M is a compact 0-dimensional subset of $\overline{M}$. Thus, for any metric d on $\overline{M}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of E_M in $\overline{M}$ such that $\operatorname{diam}_d C < \varepsilon$ $(C \in \mathcal{C}(U))$.

Let $\mathrm{Map}(\overline{M})$ denote the space of continuous maps $f : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}$ and let $\mathcal{H}(\overline{M})$ denote the group of homeomorphisms h of $\overline{M}$ onto itself. These spaces are endowed with the the compact-open topology. Any metric d on $\overline{M}$ induces the sup-metric d on $\mathrm{Map}(\overline{M})$, which is compatible with the compact-open topology. For $A \subset \overline{M}$ we set

$$\mathrm{Map}_A(\overline{M}) = \{f \in \mathrm{Map}(\overline{M}) \mid f|_A = id_A\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_A(\overline{M}) = \{h \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{M}) \mid h|_A = id_A\}.$$

For $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $e \in E_M$ we define $h(e) \in E_M$ by

$$h(e)(K) = h(e(h^{-1}(K))) \in \mathcal{C}(M - K) \ \ (K \in \mathcal{K}(M)).$$

Then h has a unique extension $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{M})$ defined by $\overline{h}(e) = h(e)$ $(e \in E_M)$ and the map $\mathcal{D}(M) \to \mathcal{H}(\overline{M}) : h \mapsto \overline{h}$ is a continuous group homomorphism. If $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)_1$, then $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{H}_{E_M}(\overline{M})$. For $A, B \subset \overline{M}$ we set $\mathcal{D}_A(M,B) = \big\{h \in \mathcal{D}(M) \mid \overline{h}|_A = id_A, \overline{h}(B) = B\big\}$.

For each $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ we set

$$\overline{C} = C \cup E_C, \qquad E_C = \{e \in E_M \mid e(K) \subset C \text{ for some } K \in \mathcal{K}(M)\}.$$

Then, E_C is open and closed in E_M and $\overline{C}$ is a neighborhood of E_C in $\overline{M}$.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ we write $A \sim_c B$ if the symmetric difference $A\Delta B = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ is relatively compact (i.e., has the compact closure) in M. This is an equivalence relation and for $C, D \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ we have (i) $C \sim_c D$ iff $E_C = E_D$ and (ii) $C \sim_c h(C)$ for any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)$.

For $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M)$ (or $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ when M is oriented), we say that an end $e \in E_M$ is ω-finite if $\omega(e(K)) < \infty$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}(M)$. We set $E_M^\omega = \{e \in E_M \mid e : \omega\text{-finite}\}$. Then E_M^ω is an open subset of E_M and for $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ we have that $\omega(C) < \infty$ iff $E_C \subset E_M^\omega$.

2.3. **Volume transfer and end charge homomorphism.**

First we introduce a quantity which measures volume transfer by diffeomorphisms (cf. [12, Section 3.2]). Suppose M is a connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners and $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ we write $A \sim_\omega B$ if $\omega(A\Delta B) < \infty$. This is an equivalence relation and $A \sim_c B$ implies $A \sim_\omega B$. If $A \sim_\omega B$, then we can define the following quantity:

$$J^\omega(A, B) = \omega(A - B) - \omega(B - A) \ \in \ \mathbb{R}.$$

If $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ and $h \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)$, then $J^\omega(h^{-1}(C), C)$ is just the total ω-mass transfered into C by h. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{D}^c(M)$, then $J^\omega(f^{-1}(A), g^{-1}(A)) = (f_*\omega - g_*\omega)(A)$.

The quantity J^ω has the following formal properties:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{B}(M)$.*

(1) *If $A \sim_\omega B$, then* (i) *$\omega(A) = J^\omega(A, B) + \omega(B)$ and*
(ii) *if $\omega(A) < \infty$, then $\omega(B) < \infty$ and $J^\omega(A, B) = \omega(A) - \omega(B)$.*
(2) *If $A \sim_\omega B \sim_\omega C$, then $J^\omega(A, B) + J^\omega(B, C) = J^\omega(A, C)$.*
(3) *If $A \sim_\omega C$, $B \sim_\omega D$, then* (i) *$A \cup B \sim_\omega C \cup D$ and*
(ii) *if $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$, then $J^\omega(A \cup B, C \cup D) = J^\omega(A, C) + J^\omega(B, D)$.*
(4) *If $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ and $A \sim_{h_*\omega} B$, then $h^{-1}(A) \sim_\omega h^{-1}(B)$ and $J^{h_*\omega}(A, B) = J^\omega(h^{-1}(A), h^{-1}(B))$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_{c,0}(M)$ and $A \sim_c B$. Then the following function is continuous :*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : \quad \Phi(\mu, f, g) = J^\mu(f(A), g(B)).$$

Lemma 2.1 is a special case of [12, Lemma 3.1], while Lemma 2.2 follows directly from [12, Lemma 3.2] since the canonical maps $\mathcal{V}^+(M) \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M, \omega\text{-reg})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M) \to \mathcal{H}_{E_M}(M, \omega\text{-reg})$ are continuous.

Next we recall basic properties of the end charge homomorphism defined in [1, Section 14]. Suppose M is a noncompact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners and $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$. The symbol $\mathcal{Q}(E_M)$ denotes the algebra of clopen subsets of E_M. An *end charge* of M is a finitely additive signed measure c on $\mathcal{Q}(E_M)$, that is, a function $c : \mathcal{Q}(E_M) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following condition:

$$c(F \cup G) = c(F) + c(G) \quad \text{for} \quad F, G \in \mathcal{Q}(E_M) \quad \text{with} \quad F \cap G = \emptyset.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(M)$ denote the space of end charges c of M with the *weak topology* (or the product topology). This topology is the weakest topology such that the function $\mathcal{S}(M) \to \mathbb{R} : \ c \mapsto c(F)$ is continuous for any $F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_M)$. We set

$$\mathcal{S}(M;\omega) = \big\{c \in \mathcal{S}(M) \mid \text{(i) } c(E_M) = 0,\ \text{(ii) } c(F) = 0\ (F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_M), F \subset E_M^\omega)\big\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{S}(M)$ is a topological linear space and $\mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{S}(M)$.

For $h \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$ the end charge $c_h^\omega \in \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ is defined as follows: For any $F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_M)$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ with $E_C = F$. Since $C \sim_c h(C)$, we can define as

$$c_h^\omega(F) = J^\omega(h^{-1}(C), C)\ \big(= J^\omega(C, h(C)) = \omega(C - h(C)) - \omega(h(C) - C)\big) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This quantity is independent of the choice of C.

Proposition 2.1. *The map* $c^\omega : \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ *is a continuous group homomorphism* ([1, Section 14.9, Lemma 14.21 (iv)]).

2.4. Action of diffeomorphism groups on spaces of volume forms.

Suppose M is an oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners. The group $\mathcal{D}^+(M)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{V}^+(M)$ by $h \cdot \mu = h_*\mu$. The subgroup $\mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ coincides with the stabilizer of $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$. For $m \in (0,\infty]$ we obtain the invariant subspace $\mathcal{V}^+(M;m) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \mid \mu(M) = m\}$. For $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M;m)$ we have the orbit map $\pi_\omega : \mathcal{D}^+(M) \to \mathcal{V}^+(M;m)$, $\pi_\omega(h) = h_*\omega$. Moser's theorem asserts that this orbit map has a continuous section in case M is a compact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold (J. Moser [9], A. Banyaga [2, 3]). In this article we apply the next version. The following notations are used for a collar $E = S \times [a,b]$ and $A \subset S$,

$E_A = \{(x,t) \in E \mid x \in A\}$, $E_A^+ = \{(x,t) \in E_A \mid t \geq 0\}$, $E_A^- = \{(x,t) \in E_A \mid t \leq 0\}$ and
$E_A[\delta,\varepsilon] = \{(x,t) \in E_A \mid t \in [\delta(x), \varepsilon(x)]\}$ for functions $\delta, \varepsilon : S \to [a,b]$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose M is a compact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners and $E = \partial M \times [0,1]$ is a collar of ∂M. Suppose $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ are continuous maps and $\varepsilon : P \to (0, 1/2)$ is a continuous function such that for each $p \in P$*

$$\text{(i)}\ \ \mu_p(M) = \nu_p(M) \quad \textit{and} \quad \text{(ii)}\ \ \mu_p = \nu_p \ \textit{on}\ E[0, 2\varepsilon_p].$$

Then there exists a continuous map $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that for each $p \in P$

$$(1)\ \varphi_{p*}\mu_p = \nu_p, \quad (2)\ \varphi_p = id_M \text{ on } E[0,\varepsilon_p], \quad (3) \text{ if } \mu_p = \nu_p, \text{ then } \varphi_p = id_M.$$

Theorem 2.1 is complemented by the next lemma, which is a parametrized version of [10, Lemma A2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be an oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary.*

1. *Suppose S is a proper $(n-1)$-submanifold of M, K is a closed subset of S, U is a neighborhood of K in S and $E = S \times [-1,1]$ is a bicollar neighborhood of S in M. Then, for any continuous maps $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ there exist continuous maps $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}_{S \cup (M - E_U)}(M)_1$ and $\varepsilon : P \to C^\infty(S, (0,1))$ such that for each $p \in P$*
   - (i) $\varphi_{p*}\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* $E_K[-\varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_p]$,

(ii) *for each* $x \in S$, (a) $\varphi_p(E_x^\pm) = E_x^\pm$ *and* (b) *if* $\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* $E_x^\pm$, *then* $\varphi_p = id$ *on* $E_x^\pm$, *in particular, if* $\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* $E^\pm$, *then* $\varphi_p = id$ *on* $E^\pm$.

(2) *Suppose* K *is a closed subset of* ∂M, U *is a neighborhood of* K *in* ∂M *and* $E = \partial M \times [0,1]$ *is a collar of* ∂M *in* M. *Then, for any continuous maps* $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ *there exist continuous maps* $\psi : P \to \mathcal{D}_{\partial M \cup (M - E_U)}(M)_1$ *and* $\varepsilon : P \to C^\infty(\partial M, (0,1))$ *such that for each* $p \in P$,

(i) $\psi_{p*}\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* $E_K[0, \varepsilon_p]$,

(ii) *for each* $x \in \partial M$, (a) $\psi_p(E_x) = E_x$ *and* (b) *if* $\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* E_x, *then* $\psi_p = id$ *on* E_x, *in particular, if* $\mu_p = \nu_p$ *on* E, *then* $\psi_p = id_M$.

Remark 2.1. In Lemma 2.3 (1), the map φ_p does not fix ∂M pointwise. To remedy this point, we can apply (2) before (1) to obtain the following conclusion.

(3) Suppose S is a proper $(n-1)$-submanifold of M and $E = S \times [-1,1]$ is a bicollar neighborhood of S in M. Then, for any continuous maps $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ there exist continuous maps $\varphi' : P \to \mathcal{D}_{\partial M \cup (M-E)}(M, S)_1$ and $\varepsilon' : P \to C^\infty(S, (0,1))$ such that for each $p \in P$,

(i) $\varphi'_{p*}\mu_p = \nu_p$ on $E[-\varepsilon'_p, \varepsilon'_p]$ and

(ii) if $\mu_p = \nu_p$, then $\varphi'_p = id_M$.

For completeness we include the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 in Appendix.

To deal with the noncompact case, it is necessary to include the informations on the ends of manifolds ([4, 8]). Suppose M is a noncompact, connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners. For $m \in (0, \infty]$ and an open subset F of E_M, we set

$$\mathcal{V}^+(M; F) = \left\{\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \mid E_M^\mu = F\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F) = \mathcal{V}^+(M; m) \cap \mathcal{V}^+(M; F).$$

To distinguish topologies, by $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_w$ we denote the space $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)$ endowed with the compact-open C^∞-topology. If $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ and $E_C \subset F$, then we obtain the function

$$\Phi_C : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_w \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Phi_C(\mu) = \mu(C).$$

It is easily seen that this map is not continuous if C is not compact. In [4] R. Belranga overcame this problem by introducing a stronger topology called the finite-ends weak topology (on the spaces of Radon measures). Consider the subspace $M \cup F \subset \overline{M}$.

The finite-ends weak topology on the space $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;F) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M) \mid E_M^\mu = F\}$ is the weakest topology such that

(0) the function $\Phi_f : \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;F) \to \mathbb{R} : \Phi_f(\mu) = \int_M f\, d\mu$ is continuous for any continuous function $f : M \cup F \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support.

Let $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;F)_{ew}$ denote the space $\mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;F)$ endowed with the finite-ends weak topology.

Definition 2.1. The finite-ends weak C^∞ topology on $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)$ is the weakest topology such that

(i) the identity map $id : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F) \to \mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_w$ is continuous,

(ii) the function $\Phi_f : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F) \to \mathbb{R} : \Phi_f(\mu) = \displaystyle\int_M f\mu$ is continuous for any continuous function $f : M \cup F \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support.

Let $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew}$ denote the space $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)$ endowed with the finite-ends weak C^∞ topology. From the definition it follows that the canonical map $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew} \to \mathcal{M}_g^\partial(M;F)_{ew}$ is continuous.

Lemma 2.4. *If $C \in \mathcal{B}_c(M)$ and $E_C \subset F$, then the function* $\Phi_C : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi_C(\mu) = \mu(C)$ *is continuous.*

Proof. Let $K = \mathrm{cl}_M C \cup E_C$ and take an open neighborhood U of $\mathrm{Fr}_M C$ in M such that $\mathrm{cl}_M U$ is compact. Then $K, K - U \in \mathcal{K}(M \cup F)$ and $\overline{C} = C \cup E_C$ is a neighborhood of $K - U$ in $M \cup F$. Thus, there exists a continuous function $f : M \cup F \to [0,1]$ such that $f = 1$ on $K - U$ and $f = 0$ on $(M \cup F) \setminus \overline{C}$.

Since f has a compact support in K, by the condition (ii) in Definition 2.1 we have the continuous function $\Phi_f : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew} \to \mathbb{R}$. Since the Borel measurable function $g = \chi_C - f : M \to [0,1]$ has a compact support in $cl_M U$, by the condition (i) in Definition 2.1 the map $\Phi_g : \mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Thus $\Phi_C = \Phi_{\chi_C} = \Phi_f + \Phi_g$ is also continuous. □

The group $\mathcal{D}^+(M;F)$ acts continuously on $\mathcal{V}^+(M;F)_{ew}$ by $h \cdot \mu = h_*\mu$. The subspace $\mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew}$ is invariant under this action and for $\omega \in \mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew}$ we have the orbit map $\pi_\omega : \mathcal{D}^+(M;F) \to \mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew}$, $\pi_\omega(h) = h_*\omega$. The subgroup $\mathcal{D}^+(M;\omega)$ coincides with the stabilizer of ω. The transitivity of this action on $\mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew}$ is proved in [8].

For the sake of notational simplicity we follow the next convention in the subsequent sections. For continuous maps $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}^+(M)$, $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and an n-submanifold N of M, we obtain continuous maps $\varphi_*\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$, $(\varphi_*\mu)_p = \varphi_{p_*}\mu_p$ and $\nu|_N : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(N)$, $(\nu|_N)_p = \nu_p|_N$.

Notation 2.1. The identities for maps $(\varphi_*\mu)(N) = \nu(N)$, $\varphi|_N = id_N$ etc. mean that $(\varphi_{p_*}\mu_p)(N) = \nu_p(N)$ $(p \in P)$, $\varphi_p|_N = id_N$ $(p \in P)$ etc.

3. Realization theorem for volume transfer toward ends

In this section we discuss realization problem of volume transfer toward ends by diffeomorphisms. Main theorem 3.1 is applied in the next section to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

3.1. **Volume transfer by engulfing isotopy.**

Suppose M is a connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners and d is any metric on $\overline{M}$. Consider a decomposition $M = L \cup_S N$ such that

(i) L and N are connected C^∞ n-submanifolds of M,

(ii) $S \equiv L \cap N = \mathrm{Fr}_M L = \mathrm{Fr}_M N$, which is a compact proper C^∞ $(n-1)$-submanifold of M.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a continuous map $f : (-\infty, \infty) \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$ such that*

(1) $f_0 = id$, $f_s(L) \subsetneqq f_t(L)$ $(s < t)$,

(2) *there exists a subpolyhedron* F *of* M (*with respect to a* C^∞*-triangulation of* M) *such that*

  (i) $\dim F = n-1$ *and* $\partial M \subset F$,

  (ii) *the map* f *has a locally common compact support in* $M - F$
  (*i.e., for any* $T > 0$ *there exists* $K \in \mathcal{K}(M-F)$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp} f_t \subset K$ $(t \in [-T,T])$),

  (iii) *for any* $K \in \mathcal{K}(M-F)$ *there exist* $-\infty < s < t < \infty$ *with* $K \subset f_t(L) - f_s(L)$,

(3) $\{f_t\}_{-\infty<t<\infty}$ *is equi-continuous with respect to* $d|_M$.

Proof. Take a C^∞-triangulation τ of M such that L and N are subcomplexes of τ. For $k = 0,1,\cdots,n$ let $\tau(k)$ denote the set of k-simplices of τ and for $t \in \tau$ let $\tau_t = \{t \in \tau \mid t \subsetneqq s\}$. Consider the barycentric subdivision τ' of τ. The barycenter of a simplex $s \in \tau$ is denoted by $b(s)$. If $s_1, s_2 \in \tau$ and $s_1 \subsetneqq s_2$, then we have the associated 1-simplex $\langle b(s_1), b(s_2)\rangle$ of τ'. The dual 0-cell $e(s)$ associated with an $s \in \tau(n)$ is the barycenter $b(s)$ of s. The dual 1-cell $e(t)$ associated with an $t \in \tau(n-1)$ is the union of 1-simplices $\langle b(t), b(s)\rangle$ $(s \in \tau_t)$ of τ'. Note that $\#\tau_t = 1$ if $t \subset \partial M$ and $\#\tau_t = 2$ otherwise. The dual 1-skeleton S_M of τ is the union of all dual 0-cells and dual 1-cells of τ. A maximal tree of S_M is a tree T of the graph S_M which is a union of dual 1-cells of τ and includes all dual 0-cells of τ.

Consider the triangulation $\tau|_L$ of L and $\tau|_N$ of N. Let S_L and S_N be the dual 1-skeletons of $\tau|_L$ and $\tau|_N$ and take maximal trees T_L of S_L and T_N of S_N such that $T_L \subset \operatorname{Int} L$ and $T_N \subset \operatorname{Int} N$. Take any $(n-1)$-simplex $s_0 \in \tau|_S$, and let T_S denote the dual 1-cell associated to s_0. Then $T = T_L \cup T_S \cup T_N$ is a maximal tree of the dual 1-skeleton S_M of τ. Let $F = \cup\{s \in \tau \mid s \cap T = \emptyset\}$ and $U = M - F$. Then F is a subpolyhedron of M such that $\dim F = n-1$, $\partial M \subset F$, $S \cap F = S - \operatorname{Int} s_0$, and U is an open regular neighborhood of T, which is an open C^∞ n-cell.

Consider the decomposition of the end compactification $\overline{M} = \overline{L} \cup_S \overline{N}$. Let $\overline{F} = F \cup E_M$, $\overline{F}_L = \overline{F} \cap \overline{L}$ and $\overline{F}_N = \overline{F} \cap \overline{N}$. Using (U,T), we can find an engulfing pesudo-isotopy $\overline{f} : [-\infty,\infty] \to \mathit{Map}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ in $\overline{M}$ such that

(i) (a) $\overline{f}_0 = id$ and $\overline{f}_t \in \mathcal{H}_{\overline{F}}(\overline{M})$ $(t \in (-\infty,\infty))$,
  (b) for any $T \in (0,\infty)$ there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}(U)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \overline{f}_t \subset K$ $(t \in [-T,T])$,

(ii) (a) $f_t = \overline{f}_t|_M \in \mathcal{D}^c_F(M)$ $(t \in (-\infty,\infty))$,
  (b) the map $f : (-\infty,\infty) \to \mathcal{D}^c_F(M)^*_1 : t \mapsto f_t$ is continuous,
  (c) f has locally common compact support in U,

(iii) (a) $\overline{f}_s(\overline{L}) \subsetneqq \overline{f}_t(\overline{L})$ for $-\infty \le s < t \le \infty$,
  (b) for any $K \in \mathcal{K}(U)$ there exist $-\infty < s < t < \infty$ such that $K \subset \overline{f}_t(\overline{L}) - \overline{f}_s(\overline{L})$,
  (c) $\overline{f}_{-\infty}(\overline{L}) = \overline{F}_L$, $\overline{f}_{-\infty}(\overline{N}) = \overline{M}$, and $\overline{f}_\infty(\overline{L}) = \overline{M}$, $\overline{f}_\infty(\overline{N}) = \overline{F}_N$.

Since the compact family $\{\overline{f}_t\}_{-\infty\le t\le\infty}$ is equi-continuous with respect to d, the family $\{f_t\}_{-\infty<t<\infty}$ is also equi-continuous with respect to $d|_M$. Hence, the map f given in (ii) satisfies the required conditions. $\square$

Now we use the isotopy $h_t = f_t^{-1}$ to transfer volume on M. Consider the continuous maps

(1) $\lambda : \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda(\mu,t) = J^\mu(h_t^{-1}(L), L) = \begin{cases} \mu(f_t(L) - L) & (t \ge 0) \\ -\mu(L - f_t(L)) & (t \le 0) \end{cases}$,

(2) $\mathcal{W}^+(M) \ = \ \big\{(\mu, a) \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathbb{R} \ \big| \ a \in (-\mu(L), \mu(N))\big\}$ (an open subset of $\mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathbb{R}$),

(3) $t : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad t(\mu, a) = \lambda(\mu, *)^{-1}(a)$ (i.e., $t = t(\mu, a)$ iff $a = \lambda(\mu, t)$),

(4) $H : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1, \ \ H_{(\mu,a)} = h_{t(\mu,a)}$

Since the map $\lambda(\mu, *) : \mathbb{R} \to (-\mu(L), \mu(N))$ is a monotonically increasing homeomorphism, the map t is well defined. Note that $h_0 = id_M$ and $t(\mu, 0) = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *The map H has the following properties:*

(i) *H has locally common compact support in* $\mathrm{Int}\, M$*.*

(ii) *$\{{H_{(\mu,a)}}^{-1}\}_{(\mu,a)}$ is equi-continuous with respect to $d|_M$.*

(iii) *$J^\mu(H^{-1}_{(\mu,a)}(L), L) = a$.* (iv) *$H_{(\mu,a)} = id_M$ iff $a = 0$.*

Remark 3.1. The continuous map

$$\widetilde{H} : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1, \ \ \widetilde{H}_{(\mu,a),s} = H_{(\mu,sa)}$$

provides a homotopy from $\widetilde{H}_0 \equiv id_M$ to $\widetilde{H}_1 = H$ with locally common compact support in $\mathrm{Int}\, M$.

3.2. **Fundamental deformation lemmas.**

Suppose M is a connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with corners, d is any metric on $\overline{M}$ and N is a connected C^∞ n-submanifold of M such that $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ is compact. Let $\mathcal{C}(N^c) = \{A_1, \cdots, A_m\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose $\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ are continuous maps and $a(i) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, m)$ are continuous functions such that*

$$\text{(a) } \textstyle\sum_{i=0}^m a(i) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \text{(b) } a(0) > -\mu(N),\ a(i) > -\mu(A_i)\ (i = 1, \cdots, m).$$

Then there exists a continuous map $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$ such that

(1) (i) *φ has locally common compact support in* $\mathrm{Int}\, M$ (*and there exists a homotopy from φ to the constant map id_M with locally common compact support in* $\mathrm{Int}\, M$)*,*

  (ii) *the family $\{\varphi_p^{-1}\}_p$ is equi-continuous with respect to $d|_M$,*

(2) (i) *$J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(N), N) = a(0)$ and* (ii) *$J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = a(i)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$,*

(3) *if $p \in P$ and $a_p(i) = 0$ $(i \in \{1, \cdots, m\})$, then $\varphi_p = id_M$.*

Remark 3.2. In Lemma 3.3 we note the following points.

(A) The condition (2)(ii) implies (2)(i). This follows from the condition (a) and the equation

$$J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(N), N) + \textstyle\sum_i J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(M), M) = J^\mu(M, M) = 0.$$

(B) If the condition (b) is replaced by (b)′ $a(0) < \mu(N)$, $a(i) < \mu(A_i)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$, then the condition (2) is replaced by
(2)′ (i) $J^\mu(N, \varphi^{-1}(N)) = a(0)$ and (ii) $J^\mu(A_i, \varphi^{-1}(A_i)) = a(i)$ $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$.
This is verified by applying Lemma 3.3 to the functions $-a_i$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. **[1]** First we verify the case $m = 1$. The argument in Section 3.1 can be applied to $M = A_1 \cup N$ so to yield the associated map $H : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$. From the conditions (a) and (b) it follows that $(\mu_p, a_p(1)) \in \mathcal{W}^+(M)$ (i.e., $a_p(1) \in (-\mu_p(A_1), \mu_p(N))$) for each $p \in P$. Thus, we obtain the composition

$$\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1, \quad \varphi_p = H_{(\mu_p, a_p(1))} \ (p \in P).$$

The required properties of φ are verified by Lemma 3.2 and Remarks 3.1 and 3.2 (A).

[2] We proceed by the induction on m. For $m = 0$ we can take $\varphi \equiv id_M$. Suppose $m \geq 1$ and assume that the assertion holds for $m - 1$. To prove the assertion for m, first we apply the inductive hypothesis to the data : $M = (N \cup A_1) \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_m$ and $a(0) + a(1), a(2), \cdots, a(m)$ to yield a map $\psi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$ which satisfies the conditions (1), (3) (for $a(0) + a(1), a(2), \cdots, a(m)$) and

$(2)_1$ (i) $J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(N \cup A_1), N \cup A_1) = a(0) + a(1)$ and (ii) $J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = a(i)$ $(i = 2, \cdots, m)$.

Next we apply [1] (the case $m = 1$) to the data : $M_1 = N \cup A_1$, $(\psi_*\mu)|_{M_1} : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M_1)$ and

$$b(0), b(1) : P \to \mathbb{R}, \quad b(0) = a(0) - J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(N), N), \quad b(1) = a(1) - J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(A_1), A_1).$$

Note that (a) $b(0) + b(1) = a(0) + a(1) - J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(N \cup A_1), N \cup A_1) = 0$,

(b) $b(0) = a(0) - J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(N), N) > -\mu(N) - J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(N), N) = -\mu(\psi^{-1}(N)) = -(\psi_*\mu)(N)$, and similarly, $b(1) > -(\psi_*\mu)(A_1)$.

Then we obtain a map $\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M_1)^*_1$ which satisfies the conditions (1), (2) and (3) for these data. The condition (1) (i) assures that this map has a canonical extension by id,

$$\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_m}(M)^*_1,$$

which also satisfies the conditions (1), (3) (for $b(0), b(1)$) and

$(2)_2$ (i) $J^{\psi_*\mu}(\chi^{-1}(N), N) = b(0)$ and (ii) $J^{\psi_*\mu}(\chi^{-1}(A_1), A_1) = b(1)$.

Finally, we see that the map $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$, $\varphi_p = \chi_p \psi_p$ satisfies the required conditions.

(1) Since both ψ and χ satisfy the condition (1), so is the map φ.

(2) From the condition $(2)_2$ (ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a(1) &= J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(A_1), A_1) + J^{\psi_*\mu}(\chi^{-1}(A_1), A_1) \\ &= J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(A_1), A_1) + J^\mu(\psi^{-1}\chi^{-1}(A_1), \psi^{-1}A_1) = J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_1), A_1). \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 2, \cdots, m$, since $\chi = id$ on A_i, the condition $(2)_1$ (ii) implies that

$$a(i) = J^\mu(\psi^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = J^\mu(\varphi^{-1}(A_i), A_i).$$

The condition (2)(i) follows from (2)(ii) and Remark 3.2 (A).

(3) If $a_p(i) = 0$ $(i \in \{1, \cdots, m\})$, then we have (i) $\psi_p = id_M$ and (ii) $b_p(0) = b_p(1) = 0$ so that $\chi_p = id_M$. Thus $\varphi_p = id_M$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

3.3. Admissible sequences of diffeomorphisms.

Suppose M is a noncompact connected C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary and d is any fixed metric on $\overline{M}$. Let $\mathcal{N}(M)$ denote the set of compact, connected C^∞ n-submanifold N of M such that each $C \in \mathcal{C}(N^c)$ is noncompact. (We assume that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}(M)$.) We also let

$$\mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M) = \big\{(K, L) \in \mathcal{N}(M)^2 \mid \text{(i) } K \subset \mathrm{Int}_M L, \text{ (ii) } L \cap A \text{ is connected for each } A \in \mathcal{C}(K^c)\big\}.$$

Note that (i) for any $N \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ the complementary region N^c is a C^∞ n-submanifold of M consisting of finitely many noncompact connected components and (ii) since $\dim E_M = 0$, for any $K \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $L \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ such that $(K, L) \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M)$ and $\mathrm{diam}_d\, B < \varepsilon$ ($B \in \mathcal{C}(L^c)$).

Let P be a fixed topological space.

Definition 3.1. A sequence (K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k) $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ is said to be admissible if it satisfies the following conditions for each $k = 1, 2, \cdots$: (Let $L_0 = \emptyset$ and $f^0 = g^0 \equiv id_M$)

$(1)_k$ $K_k, L_k \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ and $(L_{k-1}, K_k), (K_k, L_k) \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M)$.

$(2)_k$ (i) $f^k, g^k : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$ are continuous maps.

(ii) $f^k = \varphi^k f^{k-1}$ and $g^k = \psi^k g^{k-1}$

for some continuous maps $\varphi^k : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup L_{k-1}}(M)^*_1$ and $\psi^k : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup K_k}(M)^*_1$.

$(3)_k$ (i) f^k and g^k have locally common compact support in $\mathrm{Int}\, M$.

(ii) $\{(f^k_p)^{-1}\}_p$ and $\{(g^k_p)^{-1}\}_p$ are equicontinuous with respect to $d|_M$.

$(4)_k$ (i) $\mathrm{diam}\, A \le 2^{-k}$, $\mathrm{diam}\, (g^{k-1})^{-1}(A) \le 2^{-k}$ $(A \in \mathcal{C}(K_k^c))$.

(ii) $\mathrm{diam}\, B \le 2^{-k}$, $\mathrm{diam}\, (f^k)^{-1}(B) \le 2^{-k}$ $(B \in \mathcal{C}(L_k^c))$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $(K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k)_k$ is an admissible sequence.*

1. *The sequences $(f^k)_k$ and $(g^k)_k$ converge $d|_M$-uniformly to some continuous maps $f, g : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ respectively.*
2. $f^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k}$, $g^{-1}|_{K^k} = (g^{k-1})^{-1}|_{K^k}$ $(k \ge 1)$.

Proof. We verify the statements for the map f. The argument for the map g is completely same. Note that the metric d on $\overline{M}$ induces the sup-metric d on $\mathrm{Map}(\overline{M})$ (and on $\mathrm{Map}(M)$).

[1] The sequence $(f^k)_k$ has the following properties:

(i) $d(f^k, f^{k+1}) \le 2^{-k}$, $d\big((f^k)^{-1}, (f^{k+1})^{-1}\big) \le 2^{-k}$ $(k \ge 1)$ (ii) $(f^\ell)^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k}$ $(\ell \ge k)$.

In fact, from $(2)_k$ and $(4)_k$ it follows that

$$\text{(a) } \varphi^{k+1}|_{L_k} = id_{L_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(b) } \varphi^{k+1}(B) = B, \dim B \le 2^{-k} \ (B \in \mathcal{C}(L_k^c)).$$

This implies that $d(\varphi^{k+1}, id_M) \le 2^{-k}$ and $d(f^k, f^{k+1}) \le 2^{-k}$. On the other hand,
since (c) $(\varphi^{k+1})^{-1}|_{L^k} = id_{L^k}$ and (d) $(\varphi^{k+1})^{-1}(B) = B$ $(B \in \mathcal{C}(L_k^c))$, it follows that

$$(f^{k+1})^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k} \quad \text{and} \quad (f^{k+1})^{-1}(B) = (f^k)^{-1}(B), \quad \text{whose diameter} \le 2^{-k} \text{ by } (4)_k.$$

This implies $d\big((f^k)^{-1}, (f^{k+1})^{-1}\big) \le 2^{-k}$. By $(2)_k$ (ii), for $\ell \ge k+1$ we have $\varphi^\ell|_{L^k} = id_{L^k}$ and

$$(f^\ell)^{-1}|_{L^k} = (\varphi^\ell \cdots \varphi^{k+1} f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}(\varphi^\ell \cdots \varphi^{k+1})^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k}.$$

[2] There exists a canonical extension map $\eta : \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1 \to \mathcal{H}_{\partial\cup E_M}(\overline{M})$. By [1] (i) the maps $\overline{f}^k = \eta f^k$ $(k \geq 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$d(\overline{f}^k, \overline{f}^{k+1}) \leq 2^{-k} \quad \text{and} \quad d\big((\overline{f}^k)^{-1}, (\overline{f}^{k+1})^{-1}\big) \leq 2^{-k} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Since $\overline{M}$ is compact, the sequences $(\overline{f}^k)_k$ and $\big((\overline{f}^k)^{-1}\big)_k$ converge d-uniformly to some continuous maps $\overline{f}, \overline{f}' : P \to \mathrm{Map}_{\partial\cup E_M}(\overline{M})$. Since

$$\overline{f}'\overline{f} = \lim_{k\to\infty}(\overline{f}^k)^{-1}\overline{f}^k \equiv id_{\overline{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{f}\,\overline{f}' = \lim_{k\to\infty}\overline{f}^k(\overline{f}^k)^{-1} \equiv id_{\overline{M}},$$

it follows that $\overline{f}_p \in \mathcal{H}_{\partial\cup E_M}(\overline{M})$ and $f_p = \overline{f}_p|_M \in \mathcal{H}_\partial(M)$ for each $p \in P$. Therefore the sequences $(f^k)_k$ and $\big((f^k)^{-1}\big)_k$ converge $d|_M$-uniformly to the maps $f = \overline{f}|_M$, $f^{-1} : P \to \mathcal{H}_\partial(M)$. In [1] (ii), tending $\ell \to \infty$, we have the condition (2) (i.e., $f^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L^k}$ $(k \geq 1)$).

[3] Since $(f_p^k)^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)$ and $f_p^{-1}|_{L^k}$ is a C^∞-embedding for any $k \geq 1$, we have $f_p^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)$. To see that $f_p \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$, we have to construct a path $F_p(t) \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)$ $(t \in [0,1])$ with $F_p(0) = id_M$ and $F_p(1) = f_p$. Since $\varphi_p^k \in \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial\cup L^{k-1}}(M)_1^*$, there exists a path $\Phi_p^k(t) \in \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial\cup L^{k-1}}(M)$ $(k-1 \leq t \leq k)$ such that $\Phi_p^k(k-1) = id_M$ and $\Phi_p^k(k) = \varphi_p^k$. Replacing $[0,1]$ by $[0,\infty]$, we define $F_p(t) \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)$ $(t \in [0,\infty])$ by

$$F_p(t) = \begin{cases} \Phi_p^k(t) f_p^{k-1} & (k \geq 1,\ k-1 \leq t \leq k) \\ f_p & (t = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Obviously $F_p(t)$ is continuous on $[0,\infty)$. Since $F_p(t)^{-1}|_{L^k} = (f_p^k)^{-1}|_{L^k}$ $(k \leq t \leq \infty)$, it follows that $F_p(t)^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ is continuous at $t = \infty$. Hence $F_p(t)$ is also contiuous at $t = \infty$.

[4] Since the maps $(f^k)^{-1} : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ $(k \geq 1)$ are continuous, by the condition (2) and the definiton of the compact-open C^∞-topology, it follows that the map $f^{-1} : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ is continuous. This implies the continuity of the map $f : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$. This completes the proof. □

Suppose M is a noncompact, connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary and L_i $(i \geq 0)$ is a sequence of compact n-submanifolds of M such that $L_0 = \emptyset$, $\cup_i L_i = M$ and $L_{i-1} \subset \mathrm{Int}_M L_i$ $(i \geq 1)$. We set $S = \cup_i \mathrm{Fr}_M L_i$ and $\mathcal{L} = \cup_i \mathcal{C}(cl(L_i - L_{i-1}))$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ are continuous maps such that $\mu(N) = \nu(N)$ $(N \in \mathcal{L})$. Then there exists a map $\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M, S)_1$ such that*

$$(1)\ \chi_*\mu = \nu, \quad (2)\ \chi(N) = N \ \ (N \in \mathcal{L}) \quad \text{and} \quad (3)\ \text{if } p \in P \text{ and } \mu_p = \nu_p, \text{ then } \chi_p = id_M.$$

Proof. Choose a product collar $E^1 = \partial M \times [0,1]$ of ∂M in M and a product bicollar $E^2 = S \times [-1,1]$ of S in M such that E^1 intersects with E^2 orthogonally so that

$$E^1 \cap E^2 = \partial S \times [0,1] \times [-1,1] \quad \text{and} \quad E^1[0,a] \cap E^2[-b,b] = \partial S \times [0,a] \times [-b,b] \quad (a,b \in [0,1]).$$

By Lemma 2.3 (2) there exist maps

$$\chi^1 : P \to \mathcal{D}_{\partial\cup(M\setminus E^1)}(M)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^1 : P \to C^\infty(\partial M, (0,1))$$

such that for each $p \in P$,

(i) $(\chi_p^1)_*\mu_p = \nu_p$ on $E^1[0,\varepsilon_p^1]$, (ii) $\chi_p^1(E_x^1) = E_x^1$ $(x \in \partial M)$ and (iii) if $\mu_p = \nu_p$, then $\chi_p^1 = id_M$.

From (ii) it follows that $\chi_p^1 \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;S)_1$ and $\chi_p^1(N) = N$ $(N \in \mathcal{L})$. Thus we obtain maps

$$\chi_p^1 : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;S)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^1 = \chi_*^1\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M), \;\; \mu_p^1 = (\chi_p^1)_*\mu_p.$$

By Lemma 2.3 (1) there exist maps

$$\chi^2 : P \to \mathcal{D}_{S\cup(M\setminus E^2)}(M)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon^2 : P \to C^\infty(S,(0,1))$$

such that for each $p \in P$, (i)$'$ $(\chi_p^2)_*\mu_p^1 = \nu_p$ on $E^2[-\varepsilon_p^2, \varepsilon_p^2]$, and

(ii)$'$ for each $x \in S$ (a) $\chi_p^2((E^2)_x^\pm) = (E^2)_x^\pm$ and (b) if $\mu_p^1 = \nu_p$ on $(E^2)_x^\pm$, then $\chi_p^2 = id$ on $(E^2)_x^\pm$.

From (ii)$'$ it follows that $\chi_p^2 \in \mathcal{D}_{\partial\cup S\cup(M\setminus E^2)}(M)_1$ and $\chi_p^2(N) = N$ $(N \in \mathcal{L})$. Thus we obtain maps

$$\chi_p^2 : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;S)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^2 = \chi_*^2\mu^1 : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M), \;\; \mu_p^2 = (\chi_p^2)_*\mu_p^1.$$

For each $N \in \mathcal{L}$, let $\partial_-N = \partial N \cap \partial M$ and $\partial_+N = \mathrm{Fr}_M N = \partial N \cap S$. Then

$$E^N = (E^1 \cup E^2) \cap N = (E^2_{\partial_+N} \cap N) \cup E^1_{\partial_-N}$$

is a collar of ∂N in N. Consider the continuous maps $\mu^2|_N, \nu|_N : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(N)$ and

$$\varepsilon^N : P \to (0,1/2), \quad \varepsilon_p^N = \frac{1}{2}\min\big\{\min \varepsilon_p^1|_{\partial_-N}, \min \varepsilon_p^2|_{\partial_+N}\big\}.$$

For each $p \in P$ it is seen that

$$\mu_p^2(N) = \nu_p(N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_p^2|_N = \nu_p|_N \text{ on } E^N[0, 2\varepsilon_p^N].$$

In fact, since $\chi_p^2(N) = \chi_p^1(N) = N$, it follows that $\mu_p^2(N) = \mu_p^1(N) = \mu_p(N) = \nu_p(N)$. By (i)$'$ and (i) we have $\mu_p^2 = \nu_p$ on $E^2_{\partial_+N}[-2\varepsilon_p^N, 2\varepsilon_p^N]$ and $\mu_p^1 = \nu_p$ on $E^1_{\partial_-N}[0, 2\varepsilon_p^N]$. The latter and (ii)$'$ (b) imply that $\chi_p^2 = id$ on $E^1_{\partial_-N}[0, 2\varepsilon_p^N]$ and so $\mu_p^2 = \mu_p^1 = \nu_p$ on $E^1_{\partial_-N}[0, 2\varepsilon_p^N]$.

Then, Theorem 2.1 yields a map $\chi^N : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(N)_1$ such that for each $p \in P$

(i)$''$ $(\chi_p^N)_*(\mu_p^2|_N) = \nu_p|_N$, (ii)$''$ $\chi_p^N = id$ on $E^N[0, \varepsilon_p^N]$ (χ_p^N is isotopic to id_N relative to $E^N[0, \varepsilon_p^N]$),

(iii)$''$ if $\mu_p^2|_N = \nu_p|_N$, then $\chi_p^N = id_N$.

Define a map $\chi^3 : P \to \mathcal{D}_{\partial\cup S}(M)_1$ by $\chi_p^3|_N = \chi_p^N$ $(N \in \mathcal{L})$. Then we have $(\chi_p^3)_*\mu_p^2 = \nu_p$ $(p \in P)$.

Finally, the required map χ is defined by the composition

$$\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M,S)_1, \quad \chi_p = \chi_p^3\,\chi_p^2\,\chi_p^1 \quad (p \in P).$$

If $\mu_p = \nu_p$, then $\chi_p^1 = id_M$ and $\mu_p^1 = \nu_p$. In turn, this implies that $\chi_p^2 = id_M$ and $\mu_p^2 = \mu_p^1 = \nu_p$ so that $\chi_p^3 = id_M$ and hence $\chi_p = id_M$. □

3.4. **Main deformation theorem.**

Suppose M is a noncompact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary, d is a fixed metric on $\overline{M}$ and $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ are continuous maps. Let $\mathcal{F}(M)$ denote the class of connected C^∞ n-submanifold N of M such that $\mathrm{Fr}_M N$ is compact and set $\mathcal{F}_c(M) = \{N \in \mathcal{F}(M) \mid N : \text{compact}\}$. Let $C^0(P)$ denote the set of all continuous functions $\alpha : P \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ denote the collection of all continuous maps $f : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$.

Assumption 3.1. Suppose a subclass $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ and a map $a : \mathcal{D}^2 \times \mathcal{F} \to C^0(P)$ satisfies the following conditions :

$(*_0)$ $\mathcal{F}_c(M) \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mu(A) = \nu(A) = \infty$ $(A \in \mathcal{F}(M) - \mathcal{F})$.

$(*_1)$ $a(f, g; C) \in (-\mu(f^{-1}(C)), \nu(g^{-1}(C)))$ $\ (f, g \in \mathcal{D},\ C \in \mathcal{F})$.

$(*_2)$ $$\begin{matrix} a(f_2, g; C) = a(f_1, g; C) + J^{\mu}(f_1^{-1}(C), f_2^{-1}(C)) \\ a(f, g_2; C) = a(f, g_1; C) - J^{\nu}(g_1^{-1}(C), g_2^{-1}(C)) \end{matrix} \qquad (\, f, f_1, f_2,\ g, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{D},\ \ C \in \mathcal{F}\,).$$

$(*_3)$ If $(K, L) \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M)$, $A \in \mathcal{C}(K^c)$ and $\mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c) \subset \mathcal{F}$, then

$$A \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad a(f, g; A \cap L) + \textstyle\sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c)} a(f, g; B) = a(f, g; A) \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{D}).$$

$(*_4)$ If $M \in \mathcal{F}$, then $a(id_M, id_M; M) = 0$.

Remark 3.3. From $(*_2)$ it follows that for $p \in P$

(i) $a_p(f_1, g_1; C) = a_p(f_2, g_2; C)$ if $(f_1)_p^{-1}(C) = (f_2)_p^{-1}(C)$ and $(g_1)_p^{-1}(C) = (g_2)_p^{-1}(C)$
(for example, $(f_1)_p = (f_2)_p$ and $(g_1)_p = (g_2)_p$),

(ii) $a_p(\varphi f, g; C) = a_p(f, g; C)$ if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\varphi_p = id$ on C.

See Section 4 for explicit examples of $(a, \mathcal{F})$.

Recall the defining conditions $(1)_k \sim (4)_k$ for admissible sequences in Definition 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. **[1]** *There exists an admissible sequence* (K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k) $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ $(L^0 = \emptyset$, $f^0 = g^0 \equiv id_M)$ *which satisfies the following additional conditions:*

$(5)_k$ (i) $a(f^k, g^{k-1}; C) = 0$ $\big(C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup (\mathcal{C}(K_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F}) \big)$
(ii) $a(f^k, g^k; C) = 0$ $\big(C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k)) \cup (\mathcal{C}(L_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F}) \big)$

$(6)_k$ *If* $p \in P$ *and* $a_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$, *then* $f_p^k = g_p^k = id_M$.

[2] *The sequences* $(f^k)_k$, $(g^k)_k$ *converge* $d|_M$*-uniformly to some maps* $f, g : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ *respectively. The maps* f *and* g *satisfy the following conditions:*

(1) $f^{-1}|_{L_k} = (f^k)^{-1}|_{L_k}$, $g^{-1}|_{K_k} = (g^{k-1})^{-1}|_{K_k}$ $(k \geq 1)$.

(2) $a(f, g; C) = 0$ *for any* $C \in \mathcal{F}$ *with*
$C \in \mathcal{C}(K_k^c) \cup \mathcal{C}(L_k^c) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k))$ *for some* $k \geq 1$.

(3) *If* $p \in P$ *and* $a_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$, *then* $f_p = g_p = id_M$.

Proof. **[1]** Suppose $k \geq 1$ and assume that we have constructed (K_i, L_i, f^i, g^i) $(i = 1, \cdots, k-1)$ which satisfy the conditions $(1)_i \sim (6)_i$ $(i = 1, \cdots, k-1)$. The tuple (K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k) is constructed as follows:

K_k : Since $\big\{(g_p^{k-1})^{-1}\big\}_p$ is equi-continuous with respect to $d|_M$, we can find $K_k \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ which satisfies $(1)_k$ and $(4)_k$ (i).

f^k : For each $B \in \mathcal{C}(L_{k-1}^c)$ we construct a map $\varphi^B : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup B^c}(M)_1^*$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$(3)'_k$ (i) φ^B has locally common compact support in $\operatorname{Int} M$.
(ii) $\{(\varphi_p^B)^{-1}\}_p$ is equicontinuous with respect to $d|_M$.

$(5)'_k$ $a(\varphi^B f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; B \cap K_k) = 0$ and $a(\varphi^B f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; A) = 0$ $(A \in \mathcal{C}(B \cap K_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F})$.

$(6)'_k$ If $p \in P$ and $a_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$, then $\varphi^B_p = id_M$.

Consider the decomposition of B and the associated functions in $C^0(P)$ defined by

(i) $B = N \cup \big(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} A_i\big) \cup \big(\cup_{j=1}^{m} A'_j\big) \qquad (\ell, m \geq 0,\ \ell + m \geq 1)$,

where $N = A_0 = B \cap K_k,\ \mathcal{C}(B \cap K_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F} = \{A_1, \cdots, A_\ell\},\ \mathcal{C}(B \cap K_k^c) - \mathcal{F} = \{A'_1, \cdots, A'_m\}$

(ii) $a(i) = a(f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; A_i)\ \ (i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell), \quad b(j) = -\dfrac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a(i)\ \ (j = 1, \cdots, m)$.

In order to apply Lemma 3.3 to these data and $(f^{k-1}_{\ *}\mu)|_B$, we have to check the next conditions:

(a) $a(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a(i) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b(j) = 0$,

(b) $a(i) > -\mu((f^{k-1})^{-1}(A_i))\ \ (i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell), \quad b(j) > -\mu((f^{k-1})^{-1}(A'_j))\ \ (j = 1, \cdots, m)$.

For (a), if $m \geq 1$, then this follows from the definition of $b(j)$'s. If $m = 0$, then from $(*_3)$ and $(5)_{k-1}$ (ii) ($(*_4)$ for $k = 1$) it follows that $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a(i) = a(f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; B) = 0$.

For (b), the assertion for $a(i)$'s follows from $(*_1)$. Since $A'_j \notin \mathcal{F}$, from $(*_0)$ it follows that $\mu(A'_j) = \infty$. Since each f^{k-1}_p has a compact support, we also have $\mu((f^{k-1})^{-1}(A'_j)) = \infty$, which obviously implies the assertion for $b(j)$'s.

Now Lemma 3.3 can be applied to yield a map $\varphi^B : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup B^c}(M)^*_1$ which satisfies $(3)'_k$ and the next conditions:

$(5)''_k$ $J^{f^{k-1}_{\ *}\mu}((\varphi^B)^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = a(i)\ (i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell),\ J^{f^{k-1}_{\ *}\mu}((\varphi^B)^{-1}(A'_j), A'_j) = b(j)\ (j = 1, \cdots, m)$.

$(6)''_k$ If $p \in P$ and $a_p(i) = 0$ $(i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell)$ (so that $b_p(j) = 0$ $(j = 1, \cdots, m)$), then $\varphi^B_p = id_M$.

We note that the conditions $(5)''_k$ and $(6)''_k$ imply $(5)'_k$ and $(6)'_k$ respectively. In fact, by $(*_2)$ and Lemma 2.1 the condition $(5)''_k$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} a(\varphi^B f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; A_i) &= a(f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; A_i) + J^{\mu}((f^{k-1})^{-1}(A_i), (\varphi^B f^{k-1})^{-1}(A_i)) \\ &= a(i) - J^{f^{k-1}_{\ *}\mu}((\varphi^B)^{-1}(A_i), A_i) = 0 \qquad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell). \end{aligned}$$

If $p \in P$ and $a_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$, then from $(6)_{k-1}$ and Remark 3.3 (i) it follows that

$$f^{k-1}_p = g^{k-1}_p = id_M \quad \text{and} \quad a_p(i) = a_p(f^{k-1}, g^{k-1}; A_i) = a_p(id_M, id_M; A_i) = 0 \qquad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell),$$

and from $(6)''_k$ follows $\varphi^B_p = id_M$.

Finally, we define a map $\varphi^k : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_{\partial \cup L_{k-1}}(M)^*_1$ by

$$\varphi^k_p = \varphi^{B_1}_p \cdots \varphi^{B_r}_p \ \ (p \in P), \ \ \text{where} \ \ \mathcal{C}(L^c_{k-1}) = \{B_1, \cdots, B_r\} \ \ \text{is any ordering.}$$

Then the required map $f^k : P \to \mathcal{D}^c_\partial(M)^*_1$ is defined by $f^k = \varphi^k f^{k-1}$. The conditions $(3)_k$ and $(6)_k$ follow from $(3)_{k-1}$, $(3)'_k$ and $(6)_{k-1}$, $(6)'_k$ respectively, while the condition $(5)_k$ follows from $(5)'_k$ and Remark 3.3 (ii).

L_k : Since $\big\{(f^k_p)^{-1}\big\}_p$ is equi-continuous, we can find $L_k \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ which satisfies $(1)_k$ and $(4)_k$ (ii).

g^k : The map ψ^k and g^k are obtained by the same arguments, using Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.2 (B).

[2] The existence of the limit maps f and g and the condition (1) follow from Lemma 3.4.

(2) For each $k \geq 1$

(i) since $f^{-1} = (f^k)^{-1}$ and $g^{-1} = (g^{k-1})^{-1}$ on K_k, for any $C \in (\mathcal{C}(K_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1}))$ it follows that $f^{-1}(C) = (f^k)^{-1}(C)$, $g^{-1}(C) = (g^{k-1})^{-1}(C)$ and hence $a(f, g; C) = a(f^k, g^{k-1}; C) = 0$ by Remark 3.3 (i) and $(5)_k$ (i),

(ii) since $f^{-1} = (f^k)^{-1}$ and $g^{-1} = (g^k)^{-1}$ on L_k, for any $C \in (\mathcal{C}(L_k^c) \cap \mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k))$ it follows that $f^{-1}(C) = (f^k)^{-1}(C)$, $g^{-1}(C) = (g^k)^{-1}(C)$ and hence $a(f, g; C) = a(f^k, g^k; C) = 0$ by Remark 3.3 (i) and $(5)_k$ (ii).

(3) The assertion follows from $(6)_k$. ☐

4. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In this section we apply Theorem 3.1 to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Suppose M is a noncompact connected oriented C^∞ n-manifold possibly with boundary and d is a fixed metric on $\overline{M}$.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Suppose F is an open subset of E_M and $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$ are continuous maps with $\mu_p(M) = \nu_p(M)$ $(p \in P)$.

Definition 4.1. We define $(\mathcal{F}, a)$ as follows :

(i) $\mathcal{F} = \{C \in \mathcal{F}(M) \mid E_C \subset F\}$,

(ii) $a : \mathcal{D}^2 \times \mathcal{F} \to C^0(P) :\quad a(f, g; C) = \nu(g^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f^{-1}(C)) = (g_*\nu)(C) - (f_*\mu)(C)$.

Remark 4.1. For $C \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

(a) $C \in \mathcal{F}$ iff $\mu(C) < \infty$ (or $\nu(C) < \infty$), since $E_M^{\mu_p} = E_M^{\nu_p} = F$ $(p \in P)$.

(b) $E_{f^{-1}(C)} = E_C$ and so $C \in \mathcal{F}$ iff $f^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{F}$, since $f_p \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)$ $(p \in P)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The map a is well-defined and $(\mathcal{F}, a)$ satisfies the conditions $(*_0) \sim (*_4)$ in Assumption 3.1.*

Proof. Remark 4.1 implies that $\mu(f^{-1}(C)), \nu(g^{-1}(C)) < \infty$. Since $\mu(f^{-1}(C)) = J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), C) + \mu(C)$, by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 the map $\mu(f^{-1}(C)) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Similarly, the map $\nu(g^{-1}(C)) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Thus, the map $a(f, g; C) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and the map a is well-defined. The conditions $(*_0) \sim (*_4)$ are verified as follows.

$(*_0)$ Obviously $\mathcal{F}_c(M) \subset \mathcal{F}$ and by Remark 4.1 (a) we have $\mu(A) = \nu(A) = \infty$ $(A \in \mathcal{F}(M) - \mathcal{F})$.

$(*_1)$ $a(f, g; C) = \nu(g^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f^{-1}(C)) \in (-\mu(f^{-1}(C)), \nu(g^{-1}(C)))$.

$(*_2)$ Since $\mu(f_i^{-1}(C)), \nu(g_i^{-1}(C)) < \infty$ $(i = 1, 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(f_1, g; C) + J^\mu(f_1^{-1}(C), f_2^{-1}(C)) &= \nu(g^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f_1^{-1}(C)) + \mu(f_1^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f_2^{-1}(C)) \\ &= \nu(g^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f_2^{-1}(C)) = a(f_2, g; C), \\ a(f, g_1; C) - J^\nu(g_1^{-1}(C), g_2^{-1}(C)) &= \nu(g_1^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f^{-1}(C)) - \big(\nu(g_1^{-1}(C)) - \nu(g_2^{-1}(C))\big) \\ &= \nu(g_2^{-1}(C)) - \mu(f^{-1}(C)) = a(f, g_2; C). \end{aligned}$$

($*_3$) If $(K, L) \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M)$, $A \in \mathcal{C}(K^c)$ and $\mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c) \subset \mathcal{F}$, then

(i) $\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap L) + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c)} \mu(B) < \infty$, so that $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

(ii) $a(f, g; A \cap L) + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c)} a(f, g; B)$

$= \nu(g^{-1}(A \cap L)) - \mu(f^{-1}(A \cap L)) + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c)} \big(\nu(g^{-1}(B)) - \mu(f^{-1}(B))\big)$

$= \nu(g^{-1}(A)) - \mu(f^{-1}(A)) = a(f, g; A)$.

($*_4$) If $M \in \mathcal{F}$, then $a(id_M, id_M; M) = \nu(M) - \mu(M) = 0$ by the assumption on μ and ν. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 3.1 we obtain an admissible sequence $(K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k)_{k \geq 1}$ and the limit maps $f, g : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(1) $a(f, g; C) = 0$ (i.e., $(f_*\mu)(C) = (g_*\nu)(C)$)
for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k))$ $(k \geq 1)$.

(2) If $p \in P$ and $a_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$ (i.e., $\mu_p = \nu_p$), then $f_p = g_p = id_M$.

By the condition (1) and Lemma 3.5 we obtain a map $\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that
(i) $\chi_*(f_*\mu) = g_*\nu$ and (ii) if $p \in P$ and $(f_p)_*\mu_p = (g_p)_*\nu_p$, then $\chi_p = id_M$.
Finally, the required map $h : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ is defined by $h_p = g_p^{-1}\chi_p f_p$ $(p \in P)$. □

Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following statement.

Theorem 1.1′. *Suppose P is any topological space and $\widetilde{\mu} : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$ is a homotopy with $\widetilde{\mu}_p(t)(M) = \widetilde{\mu}_p(0)(M)$ $((p,t) \in P \times [0,1])$. Then there exists a homotopy $\widetilde{h} : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that for each $(p,t) \in P \times [0,1]$*

$$(1)\ \widetilde{h}_p(t)_*\widetilde{\mu}_p(0) = \widetilde{\mu}_p(t),\ \ (2)\ \widetilde{h}_p(0) = id_M\ \ \textit{and}\ \ (3)\ \textit{if}\ \widetilde{\mu}_p(t) = \widetilde{\mu}_p(0),\ \textit{then}\ \widetilde{h}_p(t) = id_M.$$

Proof. The homotopy $\widetilde{h}$ in Theorem 1.1′ is obtained by applying Thereom 1.1 to the maps $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}' : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$, $\widetilde{\mu}'(t) = \widetilde{\mu}(0)$ $(t \in [0,1])$.

The maps $\mu, \nu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$ in Theorem 1.1 are connected by the affine homotopy $\widetilde{\mu} : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)_{ew}$, $\widetilde{\mu}(t) = (1-t)\mu + t\nu$. Theorem 1.1′ yields a homotopy $\widetilde{h}$ which traces $\widetilde{\mu}$, and the map $h = \widetilde{h}(1)$ satisfies the required conditions in Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Corollary 1.1 (1). We apply Theorem 1.1 to the data:
$P = \mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)$, the constant map $P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)$, $\mu \mapsto \omega$ and the inclusion map $P \subset \mathcal{V}^+(M; F)$. This yields a map $\sigma : P \to \mathcal{D}(M)_1$ such that $\sigma(\mu)_*\omega = \mu$ $(\mu \in P)$ and $\sigma(\omega) = id_M$. This means that the map σ is the required section of π_ω. □

Corollary 1.1 (2) is a special case of the next corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *Suppose G is any subgroup of $\mathcal{D}^+(M; F)$ with $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1 \subset G$. Then*

(1) $(G, G \cap \mathcal{D}(M; \omega)) \cong (\mathcal{V}^+(M; m, F)_{ew}, \{\omega\}) \times (G \cap \mathcal{D}(M; \omega))$.

(2) *$G \cap \mathcal{D}(M; \omega)$ is a strong deformation retract of G.*

Proof. (1) The required homeomorphism $\varphi : G \to \mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew} \times (G \cap \mathcal{D}(M;\omega))$ is defined by $\varphi(h) = (\pi_\omega(h), (\sigma(\pi_\omega(h)))^{-1}h)$. The inverse is given by $\varphi^{-1}(\mu, h) = \sigma(\mu)h$.

(2) Since the singleton $\{\omega\}$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{V}^+(M;m,F)_{ew}$, the conclusion follows from (1). $\square$

Remark 4.2. $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1 \cap \mathcal{D}(M;\omega) = \mathcal{D}_\partial(M;\omega)_1$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.1 (2) $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1 \cap \mathcal{D}(M;\omega)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$. Since the latter is path-connected, so is the former. $\square$

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Suppose $\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and $a : P \to \mathcal{S}(M)$ are continuous maps such that $a_p \in \mathcal{S}(M, \mu_p)$ $(p \in P)$. (Let $\nu = \mu$.)

Definition 4.2. We define $(\mathcal{F}, \widetilde{a})$ as follows :

(i) $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(M)$,

(ii) $\widetilde{a} : \mathcal{D}^2 \times \mathcal{F} \to C^0(P)$: $\quad \widetilde{a}(f,g;C) = a(E_C) - J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), g^{-1}(C))$.

Lemma 4.2. *The map $\widetilde{a}$ is well-defined and $(\mathcal{F}, \widetilde{a})$ satisfies the conditions $(*_0) \sim (*_4)$ in Assumption* 3.1.

The map a is well-defined and $(\mathcal{F}, a)$ satisfies the conditions $(*_0) \sim (*_4)$ in Assumption 3.1.

Proof. Lemma 2.2 implies that the function $J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), g^{-1}(C)) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined and continuous, while the continuity of the function $a(E_C) : P \to \mathbb{R}$ follows from the definition of the weak topology of $\mathcal{S}(M)$. The conditions $(*_0) \sim (*_4)$ are verified as follows.

$(*_0)$ The assertion is trivial.

$(*_1)$ Let $(f,g,C) \in \mathcal{D}^2 \times \mathcal{F}$ and $p \in P$. Since $f_p, g_p \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)$, we have $E_C = E_{f_p^{-1}(C)} = E_{g_p^{-1}(C)}$. If $E_C \subset E_M^{\mu_p}$, then (a) $a_p(E_C) = 0$ since $a_p \in \mathcal{S}(M;\mu_p)$ and (b) $\mu_p(f_p^{-1}(C)), \mu_p(g_p^{-1}(C)) < \infty$, so that

$$\widetilde{a}_p(f,g;C) = -J^{\mu_p}(f_p^{-1}(C), g_p^{-1}(C)) = \mu_p(g_p^{-1}(C)) - \mu_p(f_p^{-1}(C)).$$

If $E_C \not\subset E_M^{\mu_p}$, then $\mu_p(f_p^{-1}(C)) = \mu_p(g_p^{-1}(C)) = \infty$.
In both cases, we have $\widetilde{a}_p(f,g;C) \in (-\mu_p(f_p^{-1}(C)), \mu_p(g_p^{-1}(C)))$.

$(*_2)$ By Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{a}(f_1,g;C) + J^\mu(f_1^{-1}(C), f_2^{-1}(C)) &= a(E_C) - J^\mu(f_1^{-1}(C), g^{-1}(C)) - J^\mu(f_2^{-1}(C), f_1^{-1}(C)) \\
&= a(E_C) - J^\mu(f_2^{-1}(C), g^{-1}(C)) = \widetilde{a}(f_2,g;C), \\
\widetilde{a}(f,g_1;C) - J^\mu(g_1^{-1}(C), g_2^{-1}(C)) &= a(E_C) - J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), g_1^{-1}(C)) - J^\mu(g_1^{-1}(C), g_2^{-1}(C)) \\
&= a(E_C) - J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), g_2^{-1}(C)) = \widetilde{a}(f,g_2;C).
\end{aligned}$$

($*_3$) Let $(K, L) \in \mathcal{N}^{(2)}(M)$, $A \in \mathcal{C}(K^c)$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{D}$. Set $N = A \cap L$ and $\mathcal{C}(A \cap L^c) = \{B_1, \cdots, B_m\}$. Note that (a) $a(E_N) = 0$ since N is compact and $E_N = \emptyset$ and (b) $a(E_A) = \sum_i a(E_{B_i})$ since E_A is the disjoint union of E_{B_i} $(i = 1, \cdots, m)$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{a}(f, g; N) + \textstyle\sum_i \widetilde{a}(f, g; B_i) &= -J^\mu(f^{-1}(N), g^{-1}(N)) + \textstyle\sum_i \left(a(E_{B_i}) - J^\mu(f^{-1}(B_i), g^{-1}(B_i))\right) \\ &= a(E_A) - J^\mu(f^{-1}(A), g^{-1}(A)) = \widetilde{a}(f, g; A).\end{aligned}$$

($*_4$) $\widetilde{a}(id_M, id_M; M) = a(E_M) - J^\mu(M, M) = 0.$ □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 3.1 yields an admissible sequence $(K_k, L_k, f^k, g^k)_{k \geq 1}$ and the limit maps $f, g : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ which satisfy the following conditions:

(1) $\widetilde{a}(f, g; C) = 0$ for any $C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k)) \cup \mathcal{C}(K_k^c) \cup \mathcal{C}(L_k^c)$ $(k \geq 1)$.

(2) If $p \in P$ and $\widetilde{a}_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$, then $f_p = g_p = id_M$.

For any $C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k))$ $(k \geq 1)$, since C is compact, it follows that $E_C = \emptyset$ and $\mu(f^{-1}(C)), \mu(g^{-1}(C)) < \infty$, so that

$$0 = \widetilde{a}(f, g; C) = -J^\mu(f^{-1}(C), g^{-1}(C)) = (g_*\mu)(C) - (f_*\mu)(C).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.5 we obtain a map $\chi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that

(3) (i) $\chi_*(f_*\mu) = g_*\mu$, (ii) if $p \in P$ and $(f_p)_*\mu_p = (g_p)_*\mu_p$, then $\chi_p = id_M$ and
(iii) $\chi(C) = C$ $(C \in \mathcal{C}(cl(K_k - L_{k-1})) \cup \mathcal{C}(cl(L_k - K_k)), k \geq 1)$.

We show that the map $h : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$, $h_p = g_p^{-1}\chi_p f_p$ $(p \in P)$ satisfies the required conditions.

(a) By Remark 4.2 we have $h_p \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_\partial(M; \mu_p) = \mathcal{D}_\partial(M; \mu_p)_1$.

(b) For any $A \in \mathcal{C}(K_k^c)$ $(k \geq 1)$, since $f_p \in \mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M)$ $(p \in P)$, we have $E_A = E_{f^{-1}(A)}$, and by the condition (1) it follows that

$$c_h^\mu(E_A) = c_h^\mu(E_{f^{-1}(A)}) = J^\mu(f^{-1}(A), hf^{-1}(A)) = J^\mu(f^{-1}(A), g^{-1}(A)) = a(E_A).$$

In general, for any $F \in \mathcal{Q}(E_M)$, there exists $k \geq 1$ and $A_1, \cdots, A_\ell \in \mathcal{C}(K_k^c)$ such that $F = \cup_i E_{A_i}$ (a disjoint union). Then, we have $c_h^\mu(F) = \sum_i c_h^\mu(E_{A_i}) = \sum_i a(E_{A_i}) = a(F)$. This implies that $c_h^\mu = a$.

(c) If $a_p = 0$, then $\widetilde{a}_p(id_M, id_M; C) = 0$ $(C \in \mathcal{F})$. Thus $f_p = g_p = id_M$ by the condition (2) and so $\chi_p = id_M$ by the condition (3) (ii). This implies that $h_p = id_M$.

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 1.2 has the following slight generalization.

Theorem 1.2′. *Suppose P is any topological space and $\mu : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and $a : P \to \mathcal{S}(M)$ are continuous maps such that $a_p \in \mathcal{S}(M; \mu_p)$ $(p \in P)$. Then there exists a homotopy $h : P \times [0, 1] \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that for each $(p, t) \in P \times [0, 1]$*

(1) $h_p(t) \in \mathcal{D}_\partial(M; \mu_p)_1$, (2) $h_p(0) = id_M$, (3) $c_{h_p(t)}^{\mu_p} = ta_p$ *and* (4) *if* $a_p = 0$, *then* $h_p(t) = id_M$.

Proof. The homotopy h is obtained by applying Theorem 1.2 to the maps

$$\overline{\mu} : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{V}^+(M),\ \ \overline{\mu}_{(p,t)} = \mu_p \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{a} : P \times [0,1] \to \mathcal{S}(M),\ \ \overline{a}_{(p,t)} = ta_p. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Corollary 1.2 (1). The required section is obtained by applying Theorem 1.2 to the data: $P = \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$, the constant map $\mu \equiv \omega : P \to \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and $id : P \to \mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$. $\square$

Suppose G is any subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_{E_M}(M;\omega)$ with $\mathcal{D}_\partial(M;\omega)_1 \subset G$. Consider the restriction $c^\omega|_G : G \to S(M;\omega)$. Corollary 1.2 (2) is a special case of the next corollary.

Corollary 4.2. (1) $(G, \ker c^\omega|_G) \cong (\mathcal{S}(M;\omega), 0) \times \ker c^\omega|_G$.

(2) $\ker c^\omega|_G$ *is a strong deformation retract of* G.

Proof. (1) The required homeomorphism $\varphi : G \to \mathcal{S}(M;\omega) \times \ker c^\omega|_G$ is defined by $\varphi(h) = (c^\omega_h, (s(c^\omega_h))^{-1}h)$. The inverse is given by $\varphi^{-1}(a,f) = s(a)f$.

(2) Since the topological vector space $\mathcal{S}(M;\omega)$ strong deformation retracts onto $\{0\}$, the conclusion follows from (1). $\square$

APPENDIX

This appendix includes the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 stated in Section 2.4. These are appropriate parametrized versions of Moser's theorem [9, Theorem 2] and [10, Lemma A2], and we trace the arguments in [9, 10] together with the continuity of related maps with respect to volume forms.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For simplicity, let $E_t = E[0,t](= \partial M \times [0,t])$ and $M_t = M - \partial M \times [0,t)$ for $t \in [0,1]$. Consider the subspace of $\mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times (0,1/2)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{W}^+(M) = \Big\{(\sigma,\tau,\delta) \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times (0,1/2) \,\Big|\, \sigma(M) = \tau(M), \sigma = \tau \text{ on } E_{2\delta}\Big\}.$$

We show that there exists a continuous map $\psi : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ such that $\psi = \psi(\sigma,\tau,\delta)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1)\ \psi_*\sigma = \tau, \quad (2)\ \psi = id_M \text{ on } E_\delta, \quad (3) \text{ if } \sigma = \tau, \text{ then } \psi = id_M.$$

Then the required map $\varphi : P \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ is defined by

$$\varphi(p) = \psi(\mu(p), \nu(p), \varepsilon(p)) \ \ (p \in P).$$

A construction of the map ψ is described in [9] and [6, Ch I §4]. As for notations, for a smooth orientable C^∞ n-manifold N possibly with corners and a compact subset C of N, let

$$\Omega^k(N;C) = \big\{\lambda \in \Omega^k(N) \mid \operatorname{supp}\lambda \subset C\big\} \ \ (k \geq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^n(N;C)_0 = \Big\{\omega \in \Omega^n(N;C) \,\Big|\, \int_N \omega = 0\Big\}.$$

The arguments in [6, Ch I §4] (The Poincaré Lemma for compactly supported cohomology) yields a continuous map

$$\eta_n : \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n; [-1,1]^n)_0 \to \Omega^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n; [-1,1]^n) \quad \text{such that} \quad d\eta_n(\omega) = \omega \quad (\omega \in \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n; [-1,1]^n)_0).$$

In fact, for $\omega \in \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n; [-1,1]^n)_0$ we have

$$\omega = (-1)^{n-1} dK\omega + (\pi_*\omega) \wedge e,$$

where $K\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n; [-1,1]^n)$ and $\pi_*\omega \in \Omega^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}; [-1,1]^{n-1})_0$ are defined as follows. We set $(x,t) = ((x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}), x_n)$. Choose any 1-form $e = e(t)dt \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}; [-1,1])$ such that $\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(s)\,ds = 1$ and put

$$A(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e(s)\,ds \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

If $\omega = f\,dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \quad (f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \operatorname{supp} f \subset [-1,1]^n)$, then

$$K\omega = \overline{f}\,dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}, \quad \text{where} \quad \overline{f}(x,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} f(x,s)\,ds - A(t)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,s)\,ds, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\pi_*\omega = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,s)\,ds\right) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}.$$

Thus, if the map η_{n-1} is already defined, then $\pi_*\omega = d\eta_{n-1}(\pi_*\omega)$ and the map η_n is recursively defined by

$$\eta_n(\omega) = (-1)^{n-1} K\omega + \eta_{n-1}(\pi_*\omega) \wedge e.$$

To uniformize the local statement in the preceeding paragraph, the Mayer - Vietoris argument is applied with using a good cover and a partition of unity (cf. [6, Ch I §5]). Take any open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of $M_{1/2}$ in $\operatorname{Int} M_{1/3}$ such that $\mathcal{U}$ is a good cover of $\cup_j U_j$ and $U_j \cap M_{1/2} \neq \emptyset$ $(j = 1, \cdots, m)$. Then, by the proof of [9, Lemma 1] we can find compact subsets K_j in U_j $(j = 1, \cdots, m)$ and continuous maps

$$\Omega^n(M; M_{1/2})_0 \ni \omega \longmapsto \omega_j \in \Omega^n(M; K_j)_0 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, m)$$

such that $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^m \omega_j$. Since there exists a diffeomorphism from U_j onto $\mathbb{R}^n$ which maps K_j into $[-1,1]^n$, we can apply the result in the preceeding paragraph to each U_j and sum up to obtain a continuous map

$$\eta : \Omega^n(M; M_{1/2})_0 \to \Omega^{n-1}(M; M_{1/3}) \ \text{ such that } \ d\eta(\omega) = \omega \ \ (\omega \in \Omega^n(M; M_{1/2})_0).$$

Replacing $\eta(\omega)$ by $\eta(\omega) - \eta(0)$, we may assume that $\eta(0) = 0$.

Now we can apply the Moser's argument [9, Theorem 2]. Define the subspace $\mathcal{W}^+(M)_{1/4}$ of $\mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ by

$$\mathcal{W}^+(M)_{1/4} = \left\{(\sigma,\tau) \in \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{V}^+(M) \,\middle|\, \sigma(M) = \tau(M), \ \sigma = \tau \text{ on } E_{1/2}\right\}.$$

For each $(\sigma,\tau) \in \mathcal{W}^+(M)_{1/4}$ we have a smooth family of volume forms $\tau_t = \tau + t(\sigma - \tau) \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ $(t \in [0,1])$. Since $\sigma - \tau \in \Omega^n(M; M_{1/2})_0$, it follows that $\dot{\tau}_t = \sigma - \tau = d\eta(\sigma - \tau)$. The linear equation

$$(*) \qquad \iota(X_t)\tau_t = -\eta(\sigma - \tau)$$

uniquely determines a smooth family of vector fields X_t $(t \in [0,1])$ on M, which induces the associated smooth family of diffeomorphisms $\psi_t = \psi_t(\sigma,\tau) \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ such that $\psi_0 = id_M$. The equation $(*)$ implies that

(a) $\displaystyle\frac{d}{dt}\psi_t^*\tau_t = \psi_t^*\big(\dot{\tau}_t + \iota(X_t)d\tau_t + d\,\iota(X_t)\tau_t\big) = 0, \quad \text{hence} \quad \psi_t^*\tau_t = \tau_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_1^*\sigma = \tau,$

(b) if $\sigma = \tau$, then $X_t \equiv 0$ and so $\psi_t \equiv id_M$.

Since $\eta(\sigma - \tau) \in \Omega^{n-1}(M; M_{1/3})$, it follows that $\operatorname{supp} X_t \subset M_{1/3}$ and hence $\operatorname{supp} \psi_t \subset M_{1/3}$. The coordinate representation of X_t in [9, p 293] shows that the correspondence $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is continuous under the compact-open C^∞-topology. Thus, the correspondence $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\psi_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is also continuous under the compact-open C^∞-topology and the map

$$\psi' : \mathcal{W}^+(M)_{1/4} \to \mathcal{D}_{E_{1/3}}(M)_1, \quad \psi' = \psi_1(\sigma, \tau)^{-1}$$

satisfies the conditions : (c) $\psi'_* \sigma = \tau$ and (d) if $\sigma = \tau$, then $\psi' = id_M$.

Finally we incorporate the $(0, 1/2)$-factor to $\mathcal{W}^+(M)_{1/4}$. By a suitable smooth sliding in the collar E we can construct a map

$$\chi : (0, 1/2) \to \mathcal{D}_{\partial \cup M_1}(M)_1$$

such that (e) $\chi_\delta = id$ on $E_{\delta/2}$ and (f) $\chi_\delta(E_\delta) = E_{1/3}$, $\chi_\delta(E_{2\delta}) = E_{1/2}$.

Then, the desired map $\psi : \mathcal{W}^+(M) \to \mathcal{D}_\partial(M)_1$ is defined by

$$\psi(\sigma, \tau, \delta) = \chi_\delta^{-1} \psi'((\chi_\delta)_* \sigma, (\chi_\delta)_* \tau) \chi_\delta.$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Since the maps μ, ν factor through the projections π_1, π_2 from the product $P_0 = \mathcal{V}^+(M) \times \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ onto the 1st and 2nd factor, it suffices to consider the case where $(P, \mu, \nu) = (P_0, \pi_1, \pi_2)$.

(1) We may assume that $(M, E, S) = (S \times \mathbb{R}, S \times [-1, 1], S \times \{0\})$, where S is an oriented C^∞ $(n-1)$-manifold possibly with boundary and the orientation of M is induced from that of S and the standard orientation of $\mathbb{R}$. We fix $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{V}^+(S)$ and set $\omega = \omega_0 \wedge dt \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$.

We shall construct maps

$$\psi : \mathcal{V}^+(S) \to \mathcal{D}_{S \cup (M - E_U)}(M)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta : \mathcal{V}^+(S) \to C^\infty(S, (0, 1))$$

such that for $\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(S)$

(i)′ $(\psi_\mu)_* \mu = \omega$ on $E_K[-\delta_\mu, \delta_\mu]$,

(ii)′ for each $x \in S$, (a) $\psi_\mu(E_x^\pm) = E_x^\pm$ and

(b) $(\psi_\mu)|_{E_x^\pm}$ depends only on $\mu|_{E_x^\pm}$ (i.e., if $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ and $\mu = \nu$ on $E_x^\pm$, then $\psi_\mu = \psi_\nu$ on $E_x^\pm$).

Then the required map $\varphi : P_0 \to \mathcal{D}_{S \cup (M - E_U)}(M)_1$ is defined by $\varphi_{(\mu,\nu)} = \psi_\nu^{-1} \psi_\mu$. Since

$$(\psi_\mu)_* \mu = \omega = (\psi_\nu)_* \nu \quad \text{on} \quad E_K[-\delta_{(\mu,\nu)}, \delta_{(\mu,\nu)}] \quad (\delta_{(\mu,\nu)} = \min\{\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu\}),$$

if we choose a map $\varepsilon : P_0 \to C^\infty(S, (0, 1))$ so that $\varepsilon_{(\mu,\nu)}$ is sufficiently small for each $(\mu, \nu) \in P_0$, then the maps φ and ε satisfies the required conditions.

The construction of the map ψ is described in [10, Lemma A2]. The key observation is that for each $f \in C^\infty(M)$ the associated map

$$\varphi_f : M \to M, \ \ \varphi_f(x, t) = (x, f(x, t))$$

has the property that $(\varphi_f)^*\omega = f_t\,\omega$, where $f_t = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$.

Consider the following subspaces of $C^\infty(M)$:

$$C^\infty(M)_{>0} = \{f \in C^\infty(M) \mid f > 0\}, \quad C^\infty(M)' = \{f \in C^\infty(M) \mid f(x,0) = 0,\ f_t > 0\}.$$

Take three functions $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, (-1/2, 1/2))$, $\lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ and $\rho \in C^\infty(S, [0,1])$ such that

(a) $\alpha(t) = t\ (|t| \le 1/3),\ \ \alpha_t > 0$,

(b) $\lambda(t) = \lambda(-t),\ \lambda(t) = 0\ (|t| \le 1/2),\ \lambda(t) = 1\ (|t| \ge 1),\ \lambda_t(t) \ge 0\ (t \ge 0)$ and

(c) $\rho(x) = 0\ (x \in V),\ \rho(x) = 1$ on $(x \in S - U)$, where V is an open subset of S with $K \subset V \subset U$.

Choose a continuous map $\gamma : C^\infty(M)' \to C^\infty(S, (0, 1/2))$ such that

$$f(E[-\gamma_f, \gamma_f]) \subset [-1/3, 1/3] \quad (f \in C^\infty(M)').$$

For each $f \in C^\infty(M)'$ we define $\widetilde{f} \in C^\infty(M)'$ by

$$\widetilde{f}(x,t) = (1 - \rho(x))g(x,t) + \rho(x)\cdot t, \qquad g(x,t) = (1 - \lambda(t))\alpha(f(x,t)) + \lambda(t)\cdot t \qquad ((x,t) \in M).$$

Since $\widetilde{f}(x,t) = t\ ((x,t) \in S \cup (M - E_U))$ and $\widetilde{f} = f$ on $E_V[-\gamma_f, \gamma_f]$, it follows that

$$\text{(a)}\ \ \varphi_{\widetilde{f}} \in \mathcal{D}_{S\cup(M-E_U)}(M)_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(b)}\ \ (\varphi_{\widetilde{f}})^*\omega = \widetilde{f}_t\,\omega = f_t\,\omega \ \text{ on } \ E_V[-\gamma_f, \gamma_f].$$

Each $\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(M)$ uniquely determines $h_\mu \in C^\infty(M)_{>0}$ such that $\mu = h_\mu\omega$. Define $f_\mu \in C^\infty(M)'$ by

$$f_\mu(x,t) = \int_0^t h_\mu(x,s)\,ds.$$

Since $(f_\mu)_t = h_\mu$, it is seen that

$$(\varphi_{\widetilde{f_\mu}})^*\omega = h_\mu\,\omega = \mu \ \text{ on } \ E_V[-\gamma_{f_\mu}, \gamma_{f_\mu}].$$

Finally we define the map $\psi : \mathcal{V}^+(S) \to \mathcal{D}_{S\cup(M-E_U)}(M)_1$ by $\psi_\mu = \varphi_{\widetilde{f_\mu}}$. The condition (ii)$'$ follows from the construction of the map ψ. If we choose a map $\delta : \mathcal{V}^+(S) \to C^\infty(S, (0,1))$ so that δ_μ is sufficiently small for each $\mu \in \mathcal{V}^+(S)$, then the maps ψ and δ satisfy the condition (i)$'$.

(2) We may assume that $(M, E, \partial M) = (S \times [0,\infty), S \times [0,1], S \times \{0\})$, where S is an oriented C^∞ $(n-1)$-manifold possibly with boundary. The assertion (2) follows from the same argument as in (1) by ignoring the $(-\infty, 0]$-side. □

REFERENCES

[1] S. R. Alpern and V. S. Prasad, Typical dynamics of volume-preserving homeomorphisms, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press@(2001).

[2] A. Banyaga, Formes-volume sur les variétés à bord, *Enseignement Math.* (2) 20 (1974) 127 - 131.

[3] A. Banyaga, The Structure of Classical Diffeomorphism groups, *Mathematics and Its Applications* 400, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.

[4] R. Berlanga, Groups of measure-preserving homeomorphisms as deformation retracts, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 68 (2003) 241 - 254.

[5] R. Berlanga and D. B. A. Epstein, Measures on sigma-compact manifolds and their equivalence under homeomorphism, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 27 (1983) 63 - 74.

[6] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, Differential forms in algebraic topology, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 82, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

[7] A. Fathi, Structures of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold, *Ann. scient. Ẽc. Norm. Sup. (4)* 13 (1980) 45 - 93.

[8] R. E. Greene and K. Shiohama, Diffeomorphisms and volume-preserving embeddings of noncompact manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 255 (1979) 403 - 414.

[9] J. Moser, On the volume elements on a manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 120 (1965) 286 - 294.

[10] D. McDuff, On groups of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms and foliations with transverse volume form, *Proc. London Math. Soc.,* (3) 43 (1981) 295 - 320.

[11] J. Oxtoby and S. Ulam, Measure preserving homeomorphisms and metrical transitivity, *Ann. of Math.,* 42 (1941) 874 - 920.

[12] T. Yagasaki, Measure-preserving homeomorphisms of noncompact manifolds and mass flow toward ends, *Fund. Math.,* 197 (2007) 271 - 287.

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KYOTO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KYOTO, 606-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: `yagasaki@kit.ac.jp`